

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 16011 of 2005****For Approval and Signature:****HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.KAPADIA**

- =====
- 1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 - 2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
 - 3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?
 - 4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?
 - 5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

=====

MUKESH ALIAS BEDO HASMUKHBHAI RANA - Petitioner(s)

Versus

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE FOR THE CITY OF SURAT & 2 - Respondent(s)

=====

Appearance :

MR ANIL S DAVE for Petitioner(s) : 1,
RULE SERVED BY DS for Respondent(s) : 1 - 2.
MR HM PRACHCHHAK AGP for Respondent(s) : 3,

=====

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.KAPADIA

Date : 07/09/2005

ORAL JUDGMENT

1. Ms. Bharti Rana, learned advocate for Mr. Anil S. Dave, learned advocate of the petitioner, seeks leave to withdraw the petition in view of the fact

that the order of detention detaining the petitioner under PASA has been revoked by the authority on the basis of the report submitted by the Advisory Board and the petitioner has already been set at liberty.

2. Mr. HM Prachchhak, learned APP has no objection if leave as prayed for is granted in view of the statement made by Ms. Rana that the competent authority has revoked the order of detention detaining the petitioner under PASA on the basis of the report submitted by the Advisory Board and the petitioner has already been set at liberty.

3. Hence, leave to withdraw the petition is granted. The petition stands disposed of as withdrawn. Rule is discharged.

(A.M. Kapadia, J.)

...

(karan)